*	SIAIL OF NEW TORK
2	PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
3	
4	In the Matter of Case No. 00-C-1945
5	Proceeding on Motion of the Commission to Consider Cost Recovery by Verizon and
6	to Investigate the Future Regulatory Framework
·	FIGHEWOLK
7	
8	EVIDENTIARY HEARING
9	19th Floor Board Room
10	Public Service Commission Three Empire State Plaza
11	Albany, New York
12	Tuesday, February 19, 2002
13	9:30 a.m.
14	PRESIDING:
15	MAUREEN O. HELMER
16	Chairman of the Commission AND
17	JUDITH A. LEE
18	Chief Administrative Law Judge
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	APPEARANCES:
2	For Verizon:
3	SANDRA DIIORIO THORN, ESQ.
	1095 Avenue of the Americas
4	New York, New York 10036
5	ROBERT P. SLEVIN, ESQ.
6	For the Public Service Department Staff:
7	PETER McGOWAN, ESQ.
_	Managing Attorney
8	3 Empire State Plaza,
9	Albany, New York 12223-1350
	JANET HAND DEIXLER
10	Secretary
11	For Bridgecom International:
12	KEITH J. ROLAND, ESQ.
	ROLAND, FOGEL
13	1 Columbia Place
14	Albany, New York 12207
	For WorldCom, Inc.:
15	
	CURTIS L. GROVES
16	WorldCom, Inc.
	1133 19th Street, N.W.
17	Washington, D.C. 20036
	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
18	For Public Utility Law Project:
19	BEN WILES, Public Utility Law Project
20	90 State Street
	Albany, New York 12308
21	
	TRUDI S. RENWICK
22	29 Hyde Street
	Saratoga Springs, New York 12866
23	
_	For Allegience Telecom of New York, Inc.,
24	Focal Communications Corporation of New York and Time Warner Telecom-NY:
25	

1	BRIAN T. FITZGERALD, ESQ.
	Leboeuf, Lamb, Greene & Macrae, LLP
2	99 Washington Avenue, Suite 2020
	Albany, New York 12210
3	
	For Z-Tel:
4	
_	MICHAEL B. HAZZARD, ESQ.
5	KELLEY DRYE & WARREN LLP
•	Tysons Corners
6	8000 Towers Crescent Drive Suite 1200 Vienna, Virginia 22182
7	Suite 1200 Vielma, Virginia 22162
,	DON DAVIS
8	Vice-President Strategy and Industry
-	Policy
9	601 S. Harbour Island Blvd.
	Suite 220
10	Tampa, Florida 33502
11	For the New York State Department of Law:
12	HON. ELIOT SPITZER
3.4	Attorney General of the state of New York
13	The Capitol
14	Albany, New York 12224
••	(MARY ELLEN BURNS, ESQ., Assistant
15	Attorney General, and
	ENVER ACEVEDO, ESQ., Assistant
16	Attorney Genereal ESQ., of
	Counsel)
17	
	For AT&T:
18	WARN M BALLTBALL DOG
19	HARRY M. DAVIDOW, ESQ., Chief Regulatory Counsel,
19	111 Washington Avenue
20	Albany New York 12210
	namely stone soon and of
21	
22	
23	
- 4	
24	

:

*	PROCEEDINGS
2	MS. LEE: Good morning. I'd like
3	to call Case 00-C-1945, a proceeding on the
4	motion of the Commission to consider the cost of
5	recovery by Verizon and to investigate the
6	future regulatory framework.
7	I am Judge Lee, the Chief
8	Administrative Law Judge for the Public Service
9	Commission, and this is Chairman Helmer,
.0	chairman of the Public Service Commission, who
11	will be presiding over this hearing.
12	I want to remind people that the
13	hearing will be broadcast live on the internet,
4	and I'd like to remind all parties and witnesses
L5	to turn off the microphones when they're not in
L 6	use so your conversations may not inadvertently
17	be broadcast over the internet. The other
18	request we've had from the broadcasters is if
L 9	the witnesses and attorneys could speak clearly
20	into the microphones, that would assist the
21	internet broadcast.
22	The principal purpose of this
23	hearing, as stated in the notice that was issued
24	February 11th, is to allow for the examination

of witnesses regarding matters in consideration

1	in this proceeding.
2	I'd like to start by taking
3	appearances for the record, starting with
4	staff.
5	MR. McGOWAN: Yes. Good
6	morning. Peter McGowan. With me is Janet
7	Deixler.
8	MS. THORN: I'm Sandra Dilorio
9	Thorn, with Verizon, New York, and with me is
LO	Robert H. Levin.
11	MR. WILES: Yes, your Honor. My
L2 .	name is Ben Wiles, and I'm with the Public
13	Utility Law Project.
14	MR. FITZGERALD: The law firm of
15	LeBoeuf, Lamb, Greene & MacRae, by Brian
16	Fitzgerald, for for Allegience Telecom of New
17	York, Inc., Focal Communications Corporation of
18	New York and Time Warner Telecom-New York LLP.
19	MR. ROLAND: On behalf of
20	Bridgecom International, Keith
21	MS. LEE: Could you speak a
22	little clearer. The reporter is having some
23	difficulty.
24	MR. ROLAND: On behalf of Bridge
25	com International, Roland, Fogel, by Keith

1	Roland.
2	MR. HAZZARD: On behalf of Z-Tel
3	Telecommunications, Inc., the law firm of
4	Kelley, Drye & Warren LLP, by Michael B.
5	Hazzard.
6	MR. GROVES: Good morning, your
7	Honors. I'm Curtis Groves with WorldCom, Inc.
8	MS. LEE: You're welcome to sit
9	at that table, Mr. Groves, if you will be more
10	comfortable.
11	MR. GROVES: Actually, your Honor,
12	I'm more comfortable back here.
13	On behalf of AT&T, Robert Mulvey;
14	joining me momentarily will be Harry Davidow.
15	MS. LEE: Are there any more
16	appearances of parties?
17	Hearing none, we'll proceed. Are
18	there any preliminary matters that parties would
19	like to raise before we start with
20	cross-examination of witnesses?
21	My understanding is that there
22	was a piece of late filed testimony from Choice
23	One and that it was sent by e-mail late on
24	Friday the 15th, and I assume that the witness
25	is available for cross-examination if parties

1	are interested.
2	MR. FITTS: Yes, I am. I'm David
3	Fitts, from Choice One Communications.
4	MS. LEE: Thank you. I'd like to
5	start with the Veri the proponents being
6	available for cross-examination starting with
7	Verizon and then going to staff.
8	My understanding is that the only
9	cross that has been requested as of this time
10	was by Time Warner, who requested 15 minutes of
11	staff, Z-Tel asking for less than 30 minutes of
12	Verizon, and I think possibly Choice One, but I
13	wasn't sure about that, and Bridgecom who said
14	they had 20 minutes of clarifying questions for
15	the proponents; is that correct?
16	Is there anyone else who has any
17	cross-examination?
18	MR. FITZGERALD: Your Honor, this
19	is Brian Fitzgerald for Time Warner, of LeBoeuf
20	Lamb. I don't believe that I will have any
21	cross for staff today.
22	MS. LER: Thank you so that
23	leaves Bridgecom and Z-Tel with some questions,
24	is that correct?
25	What I thought would be a good

±	way to start would be to mark the Joint Proposal
2	and the signature pages as Exhibit Number 1 for
3	the record since that's what this proceeding is
4	about. Staff, do you have copies of this Joint
5	Proposal with the signature pages?
6	MR. McGOWAN: Yes.
7	MS. LEE: So Exhibit Number 1
8	will be the Joint Proposal concerning the
9	Verizon Incentive Plan for New York, dated
10	February 8, 2002 with accompanying signature
11	pages.
12	If there's nothing else before we
13	begin, I'd like Verizon to call its panel of
14	witnesses, please.
15	MS. THORN: Thank you, your
16	Honor.
17	MS. LEE: Before you take the
18	witness chairs, please stand. Stand and raise
19	your right hands, please. Do you solemnly swear
20	or affirm that the testimony you are about to
21	give is the truth, the whole truth and nothing
22	but the truth.
23	MR. CROTTY: Yes, your Honor.
24	MS. THORN: Your Honor, two of
25	the endainel manel members will be unable to

come before the hearing, and accordingly		come .	perore	tne	nearing,	and	accordingly	their
--	--	--------	--------	-----	----------	-----	-------------	-------

- 2 testimony will be adopted by other witnesses.
- 3 Paul Crotty will replace Mr. Geoffrey Gould and
- 4 will adopt his testimony as his own, and
- 5 Christopher Callahan will replace John Killian
- and will adopt his testimony as his own.
- 7 PAUL A. CROTTY, WILLIAM E. TAYLOR,
- PATRICK A. GARZILLO, CHRISTOPHER B.
- 9 CALLAHAN and EDWIN F. HALL
- 10 called as witnesses on behalf of Verizon, each
- 11 having been first duly sworn, were examined and
- 12 testified as follows:
- 13 EXAMINATION BY MS. THORN:
- 14 Q. Panel members, do you have before you a document
- 15 dated May 15, 2001 entitled Panel Testimony of
- 16 Verizon, New York on behalf of the Verizon
- 17 Incentive Plan for New York?
- 18 MR. HALL: Yes.
- 19 Q. If I were to ask you those questions today,
- 20 would your answers be the same as in the
- 21 document?
- 22 MR. CALLAHAN: Yes.
- 23 MR. TAYLOR: Yes.
- 24 MS. LEE: Are there any
- 25 corrections to the testimony?

1	MR. CALLAHAN: Yes, I have one
2	question.
3	MS. LEE: I think it would help
4	the reporter if you identify yourselves for the
5	reporter.
6	MR. CALLAHAN: Christopher
7	Callahan. I have a correction to the January
8	initial testimony on page 105, line 17. The
9	number appears there, 524; should be corrected
10	to 542. And on line 18 the number appears
11	there, 7; should be corrected to 25. Has to do
12	with the number of wire centers, the number of
13	wire centers where collocation occurs.
14	MS. THORN: Your Honor, I ask
15	that this testimony be accepted into the record
16	as if provided orally today.
17	MS. LEE: The Verizon testimony
18	will be adopted into the record as if given
19	orally.
20	(The prepared testimony of the
21	Verizon panel described above follows:)
22	
23	
24	
25	

- 1 BY MS. THORN:
- 2 Q. Panel members, do you have before you the
- 3 exhibit that accompanied the May 15 testimony
- 4 entitled "Exhibit in Support of Initial Filed
- 5 Testimony of Verizon, New York, Inc., on the
- 6 Verizon Incentive Plan for New York?"
- 7 MR. GARZILLO: Yes, we do.
- 8 Q. Was that exhibit prepared by you or under your
- 9 supervision and control?
- 10 MR. GARZILLO: Yes, it was.
- 11 Q. Is it true, to the best of your knowledge and
- 12 belief?
- 13 MR. GARZILLO: Yes, it is.
- 14 Q. Are there any corrections necessary to this
- 15 exhibit?
- 16 MR. GARZILLO: No corrections.
- 17 MS. THORN: Your Honor, I ask
- 18 that the redacted version of this testimony be
- 19 accepted for identification. I also ask that the
- 20 proprietary version of the exhibit be marked as
- 21 an exhibit and entered into the proprietary
- 22 record.
- 23 MS. LEE: Does the reporter have
- 24 a copy of that exhibit?
- 25 MS. THORN: Yes.

1		MS. LEE: So Exhibit Number 2
2		will be a redacted exhibit on behalf of Verizon
3		and Exhibit Number 2-A, the proprietary, will be
4		marked.
5		MS. THORN: One moment, your
6		Honor. There seems to be some confusion with the
7		proprietary and non-proprietary version.
8		(There was a discussion off the
9		record.)
10		MS. LEE: At the moment all we
11		have in the record is the initial panel
12		testimony for this panel of witnesses, the panel
13		testimony.
14		BY MS. THORN:
15	Q.	Panel members, do you have before you the
16		exhibit that accompanied the initial prefiled
17		testimony entitled "Exhibit in Support of
18	,	Initial Panel Testimony of Verizon New York
19		Inc., on the Verizon Incentive Plan for New
20		York?"
21		MR. GARZILLO: Yes, we do.
22	Q.	Was that exhibit prepared under your supervision
23		and control?
24		MR. GARZILLO: Yes, it was.

Q. Is it true and accurate, to the best of your

1		knowledge and belief?
2		MR. GARZILLO: Yes, it is.
3	Q.	Are this any corrections necessary to this
4		exhibit?
5		MR. GARZILLO: No.
6		MS. THORN: Your Honor, I ask
7		that the public redacted version of this exhibit
8		be marked for identification.
9		MS. LEE: What I have in front of
LO		me is entitled "Exhibit in Support of Initial
11		Panel Testimony of Verizon New York Inc., on the
12		Verizon Incentive Plan for New York." It states
13		Part A, Redacted Version, Part B and Part C.
14		That will be marked for identification as
15		Exhibit Number 2.
16		MS. THORN: I also asked that the
17		non-public proprietary version of this exhibit
18		be marked as such and entered into the
19		proprietary record.
20		MS. LEE: I have before me a
21		document marked "Exhibit in Support of Initial
22		Panel Testimony of Verizon New York, Inc. on the
23		Verizon Inceitive Plan for New York, " Part A,

Proprietary Version, Part B, Part C and Part D,

and that will be marked as Exhibit 2A for the

24

- proprietary record which will be bound
- 2 separately from the public record.
- 3 BY MS. THORN:
- 4 Q. Dr. Taylor, do you have before you a document
- 5 dated May 15, 2001 entitled "Initial Panel
- 6 Testimony of Verizon New York, Inc. on the New
- 7 York Competitive Marketplace?"
- 8 DR. TAYLOR: Yes, I do.
- 9 Q. If I were to ask you the questions today, would
- your answers be the same as in that document?
- DR. TAYLOR: Yes, they would.
- 12 Q. Are there any corrections to that testimony?
- DR. TAYLOR: No, there are not.
- 14 MS. THORN: I believe Dr. Gordon
- 15 was also a member of that panel and there was no
- 16 cross anticipated for Dr. Gordon today. I have
- 17 also an affidavit from Dr. Gordon to affirm that
- 18 testimony. I ask that his affidavit be accepted
- in lieu of his appearance here today.
- 20 MS. LEE: You would like this
- 21 affidavit marked as an exhibit? Do you have
- 22 copies of it?
- I have before me an affidavit
- 24 from Kenneth Gordon, sworn on February 15, 2002,
- 25 stating that his previous testimony dated May

1	15, 2001 should be adopted as his sworn
2	testimony given under oath, and I mark that
3	affidavit as Exhibit Number 3 for this session
4	today.
5	MS. THORN: Your Honor, I also
6	ask that the non-public proprietary version of
7	this testimony be marked as such and entered
8	into the proprietary record. Did I not give yo
9	the public version first now?
10	MS. LEE: What I have before me
11	is a redacted version of the Initial Panel
12	Testimony of Verizon New York Inc., on the New
13	York Competitive Marketplace by Keneth Gordon
14	and William Taylor, dated May 15, 2001, and
15	you're asking that this be admitted into the
16	record.
17	MS. THORN: As if given orally
18	today.
19	MS. LEE: Based on the affidavit
20	of Dr. Gordon, that will be accepted into the
21	record.
22	MS. THORN: And Dr. Taylor is here
23	today.
24	(The panel testimony on the New
25	York Competitive Marketplace follows:)

1 MS. LEE: That will be entered

- 2 into the record.
- MS. THORN: I also have a non-
- 4 public proprietary record of that testimony that
- 5 I would like to introduce and ask it be marked
- 6 into the proprietary record.
- 7 MS. LEE: The proprietary version
- 8 of the testimony by Mr. Kenneth Gordon and Dr.
- 9 William D. Taylor will be entered into a
- 10 separate record marked "proprietary" as Exhibit
- 11 Number 4.
- 12 BY MS. THORN:
- 13 Q. Dr. Taylor, do you have before you the exhibit
- 14 that accompanied the May 15th testimony entitled
- 15 "Exhibit in Support of Initial Panel Testimony
- of Verizon New York on the New York Competitive
- 17 Marketplace?"
- DR. TAYLOR: Yes, I do.
- 19 Q. Was that exhibit prepared by you or under your
- 20 supervision and control?
- 21 A. Yes, it was.
- 22 Q. Is it true and accurate, to the best of your
- 23 knowledge.
- DR. TAYLOR: Yes.
- 25 MS. THORN: Your Honor, I'd like

1	to enter the redacted public version of that
2	exhibit into the record.
3	MS. LEE: It will be marked for
4	identification as Exhibit Number 4, the document
5	that is entitled Exhibit in Support of Initial
6	Panel Testimony of Verizon New York on the New
7	York Competitive Marketplace, and listed as Part
8	A, B, C; D stating "redacted version", E states
9	"redacted version", F, G, H, and I states
10	"redacted version". That would be Exhibit
11	Number 4.
12	MS. THORN: I would also like to
13	offer into the record the non-public proprietary
14	version of that exhibit, your Honor, and ask
15	that it be filed in the proprietary record.
16	MS. LEE: The proprietary version
17	of that exhibit will be marked for
18	identification as Exhibit Number 4-A and will be
19	entered into the proprietary record and will be
20	bound separately from the public version of this
21	record.
22	MS. THORN: Your Honor, since
23	there was no cross-examination anticipated for
24	the testimony of Dr. Alfred E. Kahn, I have an
25	affidevit from Dr. Kahn attesting to his

1	testimony dated may 15, 2001, entitled initial
2	Testimony of Alfred E. Kahn on Behalf of Verizon
3	New York, Inc. I ask this affidavit be accepted
4	and his testimony be included into the record as
5	if given orally today.
6	MS. LEE: I have before me an
7	affidavit from Alfred E. Kahn sworn to on
8	February 15, 2002, adopting his testimony as his
9	sworn testimony as if given under oath. That
10	will be marked for identification as Exhibit
11	Number 5. The initial testimony of Alfred E.
12	Kahn on behalf of Verizon New York Incorporated,
13	dated May 15, 2001, will be entered into the
14	record as if given orally.
15	MS. THORN: Your Honor, I also
16	have an exhibit that accompanied the May 15th
17	testimony entitled, Exhibit in Support of
18	Initial Testimony of Alfred E. Kahn on Behalf of
19	Verizon New York Inc. I ask that this exhibit be
20	marked for identification.
21	MS. LEE: The exhibit in support
22	of the initial testimony of Alfred E. Kahn, Part
23	A, is marked as Exhibit Number 6.
24	(The prepared testimony of Alfred
25	E. Kahn follows:)

2	Q.	Panel members, do you have before you a document
3		dated February 11, 2002 entitled, "Panel
4		Testimony of Verizon New York, Inc. on the
5		Verizon Incentive Plan for New York?"
6		MR. GARZILLO: Yes, we do.
7	Q.	If I were to ask you the questions today, would
8		your answers be he same as in that document?
9		MR. GARZILLO: Yes, they would.
10	Q.	Are there any corrections necessary to this
11		testimony?
12		MR. GARZILLO: No.
13		MS. THORN: Your Honor, I ask
14		that the testimony be included into the record
15		as if given orally today.
16		MS. LEE: The panel testimony of
17		Verizon New York, Inc. dated February 11
18		February 11, 2002 will be admitted into the
19		record as if given orally.
20		(The prepared testimony of the
21		panel, as described above, follows:
22		
23		
24		
25		

BY MS. THORN:

- 1 BY MS. THORN:
- 2 Q. Panel members, do you have before you the
- 3 exhibit that accompanied the February 11
- 4 testimony entitled, Exhibit in Support of Panel
- 5 Testimony of Verizon New York Inc. on the
- 6 Verizon Incentive Plan for New York?.
- 7 MR. GARZILLO yes, we do.
- 8 Q. Was that exhibit prepared by you or under your
- 9 supervision?
- 10 MR. GARZILLO: Yes, it was.
- 11 Q. Is it true and accurate, to the best of your
- 12 knowledge and belief?
- MR. GARZILLO: Yes, it is.
- 14 Q. Are this any corrections necessary to this
- 15 exhibit?
- DR. TAYLOR: Yes, there are.
- 17 MR. CALLAHAN: We have a
- 18 correction to Exhibit Part C, Schedule 11,
- 19 there's a section that has --
- MS. LEE: Before you get to that,
- 21 may I see the exhibit? Sorry to interrupt you,
- 22 Mr. Callahan. Please proceed.
- 23 MR. CALLAHAN: There's a section
- 24 there, it's a table of data, one section on PSC
- 25 Complaints. There's four numbers that appear

_	12-month folling averages for PSC Complaints.
2	MS. LEE: What page is that?
3	MR. CALLAHAN: It's Part C,
4	Schedule 11.
5	MS. THORN: On the last page,
6	your Honor.
7	MS. LEE: Very last page of the
8	exhibit?
9	MR. CALLAHAN: The September
10	number that appears this is 4.8; should be
11	corrected to 4.1. The October number is 4.7;
L2	should be corrected to 4.9. The November number
L3	is 4.6; should be corrected to 3.8. The December
L 4	number is 4.5; should be corrected to 3.6.
15	MS. THORN: Your Honor, I ask
L 6	that this exhibit as corrected be marked for
17	identification.
L8	MS. LEE: The exhibit with the
19	corrections as read into the record on the last
20	page will be marked for identification as
21	Exhibit Number 7.
22	MS. THORN: The panel is now
23	available for cross-examination, your Honor.
24	MS. LEE: Are there attorneys who
25	wish to question members of the panel?

1		Mr. Roland.
2		MR. ROLAND: Keith Roland of
3		Roland Fogel.
4		CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. ROLAND:
5	Q.	Just for some clarifications, if I may. On page
6		10 of the Joint Proposal, there is a listing or
7		a discussion of the pricing flexibility
8		provisions, and there's a list of services where
9		there will not be upward pricing flexibility
10		during the term of the plan. Do you have that
11		reference?
12		MS. LEE: Page 10 of Exhibit
13		Number 1?
14		MR. ROLAND: Yes.
15		MR. GARZILLO: Yes, we do.
16	Q.	All right. Even though the company will not
17		have the pricing flexibility on its own to
18		change prices for any of these enumerated
19		services, will the company retain the authority
20		to petition the Commission for change in any of
21		these rates?
22		MR. GARZILLO: Well, I think for
23		the period of the plan those rates would not
24		change, but in this environment the company has
25		the opportunity to make proposals and, you know,

- 1 at the end of the plan they could be
- 2 incorporated too.
- 3 Q. But all the focus will be on what will happen
- during the term of the plan, so that for the
- 5 two-year term, the rates for those services will
- 6 be frozen as of what point in time?
- 7 MR. GARZILLO: We think the plan
- 8 starts as of March 1st; March 1st 2002.
- 9 Q. So whatever rates are in effect as of March 1st,
- 10 and you contemplate that will be the new UNE
- 11 rates that were approved by the Commission on
- 12 the 28th of January?
- 13 MR. GARZILLO: Yes.
- 14 Q. Under the prefiling statement, there are four-
- 15 and six-year transition periods relating to
- 16 pricing and offering of UNE price; is that
- 17 correct?
- 18 MR. GARZILLO: Mr. Roland, do you
- 19 have a reference?
- 20 Q. Well, I don't have the -- the actual prefiling
- 21 statement in front of me, but I do have a copy
- 22 of the chart which makes reference to a
- 23 four-year and six-year transition period, four
- 24 years for residential customers in Zone 1 and
- 25 business POTS in Zone 1; six years for

- 1 residential customers in Zone 2 and business
- 2 POTS customers in Zone 2.
- MR. GARZILLO: Maybe I can help.
- 4 You're talking about the PFS which is referenced
- 5 in the plan.
- 6 Q. Right.
- 7 MR. GARZILLO: Yes.
- 8 Q. That's a prefiling statement by the company. So
- 9 you're familiar with those transitions?
- 10 MR. GARZILLO: Yes.
- 11 Q. In the company's view, when did the four-year
- 12 and six-year transition periods commence?
- MR. GARZILLO: I think the three
- 14 -- pre-filed names April of '98; I could be
- 15 corrected. Got to check the date. April 6th,
- 16 1998 was the date of filing the pre-filed
- 17 statement.
- 18 Q. And in your view that was the date; the date of
- 19 filing of the proposed prefiling statement is
- 20 the date upon which the transition period
- 21 commenced?
- 22 MS. THORN: I'm going to put in
- 23 an objection to the question. This was not, in
- 24 my recollection, a part of any testimony. If
- you'd like the answer to that, we'd be more than

```
happy to give it to you; I'd be more than happy
```

- to give it to you, but I don't think that's a
- 3 part of cross on the filed testimony.
- 4 Q. Under the terms of the joint settlement, will
- 5 the transition period run out at any time during
- 6 the two-year term under the Joint Proposal?
- 7 MR. CROTTY: I think the answer
- 8 to that question is yes.
- 9 Q. And when would that be so?
- 10 MR. CROTTY: Well, it would be
- 11 some time prior to February 28th of 2004.
- 12 Q. Do you know at what point in time in the
- 13 company's view that would work?
- 14 MR. CROTTY: I think the starting
- point has never been firmly established. We have
- 16 certainly no later than the time that we began
- 17 to offer long distance in the state of New York
- 18 but it may be earlier than that, but it's never
- 19 been fixed precisely.
- 20 Q. Does the company have a view what that date is?
- MR. CROTTY: Well, certainly no
- 22 later than when we went into long distance,
- 23 maybe the time of the FCC approval, maybe the
- 24 time of the -- of the PSC's approval or of the
- 25 statement in support of our long distance

- 1 application. It maybe the date of the long
- 2 distance application before the FCC.
- 3 Q. The company has not reached any conclusion what
- 4 the start date is.
- 5 MR. CROTTY: That is correct.
- 6 Q. Am I correct that under the terms of the Joint
- 7 Proposal, if the transition period expires at
- 8 some point during the two-year plan which you've
- 9 indicated it will, then there could be increases
- in rates for UNE services for the UNE platform?
- 11 MR. CROTTY: No.
- MR. GARZILLO: No, I would say
- just the availability.
- 14 Q. And that's sort of what I would like to follow
- up on. The rates for the UNE platform will be
- 16 fixed for the two-year duration of the plan?
- 17 MR. GARZILLO: Yes.
- 18 Q. Now, -- now, you indicated that availability
- 19 will change. How is that? Will availability of
- 20 the UNE platform exchange in any way other than
- 21 with respect to the 18-line change?
- 22 MR. GARZILLO: No, I think the
- 23 only change we made in the PSF was the 18 lines.
- 24 That's Appendix B of the tariff. It would
- 25 conform to 18 lines.

1	Q.	And just to follow up on that, am I correct in
2		understanding that under the pre-filing
3		statement and under the plan, that UNE-P will be
4		available for residential customers everywhere
5		in the state without any limit on the lines?
6		MR. GARZILLO: Yes, that was the
7		case.
8	Q.	And am I correct that under the prefiling
9		statement and under the plan, that UNE-P will be

- statement and under the plan, that UNE-P will be
 available for business customers without a limit
 on the number of lines, in all central offices
 except for the 17 New York City central offices
 now listed in your tariff?
- MR. GARZILLO: As I think I

 stated before, we believe modification to the

 PFS was the 18 lines in the various offices.
- 2. So is it correct that the purpose of the UNE-P
 provisions in the the pre-filing is to expand
 the availability of the UNE platform in all
 areas where it is now limited but not intended
 in any way to create any limitation on use of
 the UNE-P where none exists today?
- 23 MR. GARZILLO: I have to think
 24 the purpose of the the pre-filing was to expand
 25 the small business limitation amount of your PFS

- 4-18 and that was to imply everything that was
- 2 in place already.
- 3 Q. So there is no restriction imposed compared to
- 4 what's available today, only an expansion with
- 5 respect to the 18-line situation?
- 6 MR. GARZILLO: Yes.
- 7 Q. And I want to be sure that I heard your answer
- 8 to my prior question. Under the the pre-filing
- 9 UNE-P will be available for business customers
- without any line limit in all but the 17 New
- 11 York central offices regardless of any FCC
- 12 rules?
- MR. GARZILLO: You said -- I
- think it's more like 30 in Appendix B, I'm not
- 15 sure, in the tariff.
- 16 MR. CROTTY: Frankly, I don't
- 17 understand "without any line limit". Would you
- 18 tell us what you mean? Obviously the line limit
- is going 4-18, but we haven't abandoned every --
- 20 the limit over 18, so obviously there's a
- 21 limitation.
- 22 Q. O.K. Well, let me focus on that. Outside of the
- 23 central offices listed in your tariff for New
- 24 York City, is there any limitation on the number
- of UNE-P business lines which the company will

1	make	available	during	the	term	of	the

- pre-filing?
- 3 MR. GARZILLO: I have to think.
- 4 To clear it up, prior to the -- to this, the
- 5 pre-filing, we had the four lines, meaning four
- 6 lines was not available. Now, what we're doing
- 7 is going to 18 lines, and it doesn't mean 18
- 8 lines will be available on a continuous basis,
- 9 if that's your question. I keep focusing on
- your question that 18 lines or less will be
- 11 available.
- 12 Q. That's not my question, and I have to pursue
- it. Under the prefiling statement, was there
- 14 any limitation on the number of business lines
- that could be served by UNE-P outside of the New
- 16 York City offices that were listed in the
- 17 tariff?
- 18 MR. GARZILLO: Yes, there was.
- 19 It was four lines, and then there was also
- 20 Appendix C, but that has now been -- Appendix C
- 21 was where you had two or more collocators in a
- 22 building. That has been suspended for the
- 23 period of this plan. If you're familiar with
- 24 Tariff E, there are two appendices, Appendix B
- 25 being four lines and Appendix C being the

- 1 multiple lines. So the only thing that changed
- 2 in this line, Appendix B, 18 lines and
- 3 everything else remains the same, and Appendix C
- 4 is now held, you know, dormant until the end of
- 5 this plan.
- 6 Q. And because I need to follow up on that, just
- 7 take this piece by piece if you would. Under
- 8 the prefiling statement by itself --
- 9 MR. GARZILLO: Yeah.
- 10 Q. -- did it not provide that UNE-P would be
- 11 available for business customers without line
- 12 limit in all of the central offices outside of
- 13 those specificaly designated going to New York
- 14 City where there were two or more collocators?
- MR. GARZILLO: No. I have to
- 16 repeat the answer is no, if I understood your
- 17 question. The answer is no.
- 18 Q. Well, I've read the prefiling statement and I
- 19 just didn't see any limitation to under four
- 20 lines.
- 21 MS. THORN: Keith, I'm again
- 22 going to object. I'm not sure where this
- 23 testimony in their written submission comes in.
- I don't think we discussed the PFS,, that was
- 25 litigate the before. We have a tariff that